WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED	STATES	OF AMERICA

	V .	URL	DER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Yuri Arriaga-Ortega	_ Case Number:	<u>11-05574M-001</u>
and was repre		onderance of the evidence	was held on April 7, 2011. Defendant was present the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
		United States or lawfully ad	dmitted for permanent residence
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
⊠	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade la	w enforcement contact by	fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of	years imprisonment.
The Cat the time of	Court incorporates by reference the mate the hearing in this matter, except as no	erial findings of the Pretrial Sted in the record.	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court
		ONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defen	dant will flee.	
2.	No condition or combination of condi	tions will reasonably assure	e the appearance of the defendant as required.
		ONS REGARDING DETEN	******
a corrections f appeal. The d of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable lefendant shall be afforded a reasonable	e, from persons awaiting or so copportunity for private con e Government, the person	s/her designated representative for confinement in serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nnection with a court proceeding.
	APPEAL	AND THIRD PARTY REL	EASE
IT IS of deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this of the motion for review/reconsideration	detention order be filed wi to Pretrial Services at leas	ith the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to to one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services suffi	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release t ciently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	o a third palty is to be consider the District Court to allow	idered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial v Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE: Apri	17, 2011	/+	JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge